

Estd: 1955



चेंबूर कर्नाटका संघा  
CHEMBUR KARNATAKA SANGHA'S

## CHEMBUR KARNATAKA COLLEGE OF LAW

(Affiliated to University of Mumbai & Approved by Bar Council of India)

NAAC Accredited with B+ Grade (2.62 CGPA)

4th Floor, Vidyasagar, Ghatla, Chembur, Mumbai 400 071 M: 9136026806

E: ckclaw1955@gmail.com / ckcl.admin@ckcl.ac.in Website : www.ckcl.ac.in

Estd:2017



### INTERNAL EXAMINATION TIME-TABLE BA.L.LB. SEMESTER IV 75:25

DATE AND TIME	NAME OF SUBJECT	PORTION/ SYLLABUS	MODE OF EXAMINATION & MARKS
10.03.26 08:00 - 08:30 am (WT) 8:30 am onwards (Viva)	English II	<p><b>Module- II-Law &amp; Literature: Speech &amp; Essays</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Susan B. Anthony- United States v. Susan B. Anthony</li> <li>• Brown v. Board of Education- U.S Supreme Court</li> <li>• We Should All be Feminist- TED Talks Chimamanda Adichie</li> <li>• Child Marriage- M. K. Gandhi</li> <li>• Gender Equality</li> <li>• Speech- Emma Watson</li> </ul>	<p>Class Test (Short notes)- 10 marks</p> <p>Viva-10 marks</p> <p>Attendance- 05 marks</p>
12.03.26 08:00 - 08:30 am (WT) 8:30 am onwards (Viva)	Political Science III	<p>MODULE 1- Introduction to International Relations (IR) (Complete Module)</p> <p>1. IR as an Academic Discipline: Relevance of the study of IR Evolution of the study of IR</p> <p>1. Westphalian Nation – State System</p> <p>1.2.1. Peace of Westphalia and Implications on the Modern Nation State System</p> <p>1.2.2. Foundational Elements of Modern Nation State – Principles of Sovereign</p> <p>1.2.3. Equality, Territorial Integrity, Non-Intervention</p> <p>1. National Power</p> <p>1.3.1. Meaning and Definition, Elements and Limitations of National Power</p>	<p>10 Marks: Written Exam (Class Test - Two {5 marks} questions to be written out of 4 based on syllabus given)</p> <p>10 Marks: Viva (Two questions asked based on syllabus given)</p> <p>05 Marks: Attendance</p>

		<p>1.3.2. Polarity in IR - Bi-Polarity (1949 -1991), Uni-polarity (1991 – 2001), Multi-polarity (2001- present)</p> <p>1.3.3 Challenges to State Sovereignty – Globalisation and R2P</p> <p>1.3.4 World Government – Myth or Reality, Methods of Creation and Difficulties</p>	
<p><b>17.03.26</b></p> <p><b>08:00 - 08:30 am (WT) 8:30 am onwards (Presentation)</b></p>	<p><b>Logic</b></p>	<p><b>Categorical Syllogism</b></p> <p>1.1 Syllogism- Rules and Fallacies</p> <p>1.1.2. Figures and Moods -Testing the validity of arguments</p> <p>1.1.3. Reduction - Direct Reduction</p> <p><b>Fallacies- Its kinds- Fallacies</b></p> <p>3.2.1. Fallacies of Ambiguity</p> <p>3.2.2. Fallacies of Relevance</p> <p>3.2.3. Fallacies of Defective Induction</p> <p>3.2.4. Fallacies of Presumption</p> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <p>The class test will be conducted as per the scheduled time table.</p> <p>Students must be present for the test as well as presentation.</p>	<p>10 Marks- Class Test</p> <p>10 Marks- Presentation</p> <p>05 Marks- Attendance</p>

		<p>The test will include objective and/or descriptive questions based on the syllabus mentioned for Internal Exam</p> <p><b><u>Presentation rules:</u></b></p> <p>Students may use any method of presentation, including: ICT tools such as PowerPoint (PPT), Microsoft Word, PDF, etc. Traditional methods, such as referring to handwritten notes or printed material, video audio reference, newspaper articles, etc. to best support their claim.</p> <p>Each student must deliver a presentation on the given topic within the allotted time (4 to 5 mins)</p> <p>Evaluation will be based on:</p> <p>Content accuracy &amp; relevance</p> <p>Clarity of explanation &amp; structure</p> <p>Application &amp; creativity</p> <p>Each student will present one logical fallacy using examples from media such as:</p> <p>court cases</p> <p>films or series</p> <p>advertisements</p> <p>speeches</p> <p>books or podcasts</p> <p>news articles</p> <p>Presentation must include:</p> <p>Definition of fallacy</p> <p>Logical structure</p>	
--	--	---	--

		<p>Real example</p> <p>Explanation why fallacious</p> <p>Legal or social relevance</p> <p><b>ROLL No. TOPIC FOR PRESENTATION</b></p> <p>1- Appeal to Force (ad baculum) — political speeches or employer threats</p> <p>2- Appeal to Pity (ad misericordiam) — court mitigation pleas in news</p> <p>3- Bandwagon Appeal — election campaigns or viral trends</p> <p>4- Vanity Appeal — luxury brand advertisements</p> <p>5- Snob Appeal — elite education marketing</p> <p>6- Ad Hominem (Abusive) — TV debates</p> <p>7- Ad Hominem (Circumstantial) — politician credibility attacks</p> <p>8- Tu Quoque — corruption allegations responses</p> <p>9- Straw Man — climate policy debates</p> <p>10- Red Herring — media diversion in scandals</p> <p>11- Accident — misuse of legal rules in cases</p> <p>12- Missing the Point (Ignoratio Elenchi) — court arguments proving wrong issue</p> <p>13- Appeal to Authority (Unqualified) — celebrity medical advice</p> <p>14- Appeal to Ignorance — paranormal or superstition claims</p> <p>15- Hasty Generalization — crime reporting stereotypes</p>	
--	--	--	--

	<p><b>16- False Cause (Post Hoc) — policy success claims</b></p> <p><b>17- Oversimplified Cause — social issue explanations</b></p> <p><b>18- Gambler’s Fallacy — betting or lottery ads</b></p> <p><b>19- Slippery Slope — censorship debates</b></p> <p><b>20- Weak Analogy — legal comparisons in editorials</b></p> <p><b>21- Begging the Question — legal circular reasoning</b></p> <p><b>22- Complex Question (Loaded) — cross-examination examples</b></p> <p><b>23- Suppressed Evidence — biased or selective news reporting</b></p> <p><b>24- False Dichotomy — nationalism debates</b></p> <p><b>25- Equivocation — contract wording disputes</b></p> <p><b>26- Amphiboly — ambiguous legal sentences</b></p> <p><b>27- Accent — misleading headlines</b></p> <p><b>28- Composition — stereotyping communities</b></p> <p><b>29- Division — judging individuals from group traits</b></p> <p><b>30- Undistributed Middle — flawed legal syllogism</b></p> <p><b>31- Illicit Major — overbroad legal conclusion</b></p> <p><b>32- Illicit Minor — misapplied precedent</b></p> <p><b>33- Exclusive Premises — negative legal reasoning</b></p> <p><b>34- Affirmative from Negative — courtroom argument error</b></p> <p><b>35- Existential Fallacy — universal legal claims</b></p>	
--	--	--

		<p>36- Whataboutism — political interviews</p> <p>37- Cherry Picking — statistics in policy debate</p> <p>38- Correlation vs Causation — media health claims</p> <p>39- No True Scotsman — ideological purity claims</p> <p>40- Genetic Fallacy — dismissing source origin</p> <p>41- False Balance — TV panel debates</p> <p>42- Texas Sharpshooter — selective data in ads</p>	
--	--	--	--



A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "D. K. Kulkarni".

WC PRINCIPAL  
CHEMBUR KARNATAKA COLLEGE OF LAW  
Vidyasagar, 4th Floor, Ghatla,  
Chembur, Mumbai - 400 071.